## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 3 Kevin Hamilton, Case No. 2:21-cv-01746-JAD-EJY Plaintiff 4 **Order Adopting Report and** Recommendation 5 v. [ECF No. 7] 6 Las Vegas Metro Police Department, et al., 7 Defendants 8 The magistrate judge has screened Plaintiff Kevin Hamilton's Amended Complaint [ECF No. 5] and recommends that I dismiss all claims and close this case. 1 The deadline for Hamilton 10 to object to that recommendation was April 13, 2022, and he did not file anything or ask to 11 extend the deadline to do so. "[N]o review is required of a magistrate judge's report and 12 recommendation unless objections are filed." Having reviewed the report and recommendation, 13 I find good cause to adopt it, and I do. 14 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Magistrate Judge's Report and 15 Recommendation [ECF No. 7] is ADOPTED in its entirety. 16 The following claims are dismissed with prejudice: 17 Plaintiff's Second Cause of Action alleging respondeat superior against AMR, Allied, 18 Sunrise and LVMPD, and claims seeking an award of money damages against all 19 Defendants acting in their official capacities; 20 21 <sup>1</sup> ECF No. 7. 22 <sup>2</sup> Schmidt v. Johnstone, 263 F. Supp. 2d 1219, 1226 (D. Ariz. 2003); see also Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 150 (1985); United States v. Reyna-Tapia, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003). 23

- Plaintiff's Fifth Amendment claim asserting a Miranda violation against LVMPD and Doe Officers I and II; and
- Plaintiff's False Imprisonment-Fourth Amendment Claim against LVMPD, AMR, Allied, and Sunrise.

The following claims are dismissed without prejudice but without leave to amend because amendment would be futile:

- Plaintiff's Fourth Amendment claim against Doe Officers I and II, Allied security guards Does III and IV, EMT Doe V, Abiog, Latifeci, Hansen, and Lovinger in their individual capacities; and
- Plaintiff's Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress claim against Defendants LVMPD Officers Does I and II, and Drs. Hansen.

Finally, Plaintiff's Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress claim against Defendants Abiog, Latifeci, and Doe V is dismissed without prejudice to his ability to assert this common law claim in state court.

Because no claims remain, IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of Court is directed to ENTER JUDGMENT ACCORDINGLY and CLOSE THIS CASE.

U.S. District Judge Jennifer A. Dorsey

Dated: April 15, 2022